

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD**

Substitute for Form PTO-875

Application or Docket Number

10/419,398

**APPLICATION AS FILED – PART I**

10/6/9398

7  
OR  
OTHER THAN  
SMALL ENTITY

FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
<b>BASIC FEE</b> (37 CFR 1.16(a), (b), or (c))		
<b>SEARCH FEE</b> (37 CFR 1.16(k), (i), or (m))		
<b>EXAMINATION FEE</b> (37 CFR 1.16(o), (p), or (q))		
<b>TOTAL CLAIMS</b> (37 CFR 1.16(l))	minus 20 =	*
<b>INDEPENDENT CLAIMS</b> (37 CFR 1.16(h))	minus 3 =	*
<b>APPLICATION SIZE FEE</b> (37 CFR 1.16(s))	If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper, the application size fee due is \$250 (\$125 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).	
<b>MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT (37 CFR 1.16(l))</b>		

SMALL ENTITY		OR	SMALL ENTITY	
RATE (\$)	FEE (\$)		RATE (\$)	FEE (\$)
X	=		X	=
X	=		X	=
TOTAL			TOTAL	

\* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2.

~~APPLICATION AS AMENDED – PART III~~

5/5/a

		(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT 5/5/08	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
	Total (37 CFR 1.16(f))	* 20	Minus **	= 20
	Independent (37 CFR 1.16(h))	* 3	Minus ***	= 3
	Application Size Fee (37 CFR 1.16(s))			
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM (37 CFR 1.16(j))				

SMALL ENTITY		OR	OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
RATE (\$)	ADDITIONAL FEE (\$)		RATE (\$)	ADDITIONAL FEE (\$)
X	=		X	=
X	=		X	=
<b>TOTAL ADD'L FEE</b>			<b>TOTAL ADD'L FEE</b>	

		(Column 1)			(Column 2)	(Column 3)
AMENDMENT B		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	
	Total (37 CFR 1.16(i))	*	Minus	**	=	
	Independent (37 CFR 1.16(h))	*	Minus	***	=	
	Application Size Fee (37 CFR 1.16(s))					
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM (37 CFR 1.16(j))						

RATE (\$)	ADDITIONAL FEE (\$)	RATE (\$)	ADDITIONAL FEE (\$)
X	=		
X	=		
<b>TOTAL ADD'L FEE</b>		<b>TOTAL ADD'L FEE</b>	

- If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3.

\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".

\*\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.16. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Patent  
Customer No.: 006980  
Docket No.: VAND10

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: ) Confirmation No.: 7671  
van LITH, Johannes Hendrikus et al. ) Group Art Unit: 3682  
Serial No.: 10/619,398 ) Examiner: Charles, M.  
Filed: 15 July 2003 )  
For: **TRANSVERSE ELEMENT FOR A DRIVE** )  
**BELT FOR A CONTINUOUSLY VARIABLE** )  
**TRANSMISSION** )

**RESPONSE AND AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION**

*D. J. L.*  
*EN*  
Mail Stop AF  
Honorable Commissioner of Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Atlanta, GA 30308-2216  
3 April 2006

Sir:

The *Office Action* from Primary Examiner Marcus Charles of Art Unit 3682, dated 2 February 2006, marked *Final*, has been received and carefully reviewed. In response thereto, Applicant presents Claims to better clarify the patentable distinctions between the cited prior art and the present invention, and respectfully submits that the cited references neither teach nor suggest the present invention as claimed.

Applicant further submits that the following amendments place the Application in condition for allowance and/or place the Application in better form for appeal. The amendments *do not* raise new matter issues or raise issues requiring further consideration or searches. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider the pending *Final Office Action* for the following reasons and remarks.